## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

5

BELLA DOCKS, et al.,

COMPANY, et al.,

v.

**BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB** 

Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

28

27

Dated: 11/20/13

NO. C13-5109 TEH

**ORDER GRANTING** 

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO

Defendant Bristol-Myers Squibb Company has moved to stay all proceedings pending potential transfer of this case to Multidistrict Litigation ("MDL") Docket No. 2418, *In re*: Playix Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation (No. II), to which this case was conditionally transferred on November 19, 2013. Upon careful consideration, the Court finds that a stay is in the interests of judicial economy, and it does not appear that a stay will cause prejudice to either party. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's motion to stay is GRANTED. See Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254-55 (1936) (courts have inherent power to control their own dockets, including the power to stay proceedings in the interests of judicial economy); In re Apple iPhone Application Litig., Case No. 10-CV-05878-LHK, 2011 WL 2149102, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. May 31, 2011) (factors courts consider when weighing a stay include "(1) conserving judicial resources and avoiding duplicative litigation; (2) hardship and inequity to the moving party if the action is not stayed; and (3) potential prejudice to the non-moving party").

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ITED STATES DISTRICT COURT